NEW SECTIONS FOR HANDBOOK OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Daniel Zwillinger Vladimir Dobrushkin

July 22, 2025

1	Complexification	1
2	Linear ODEs Forced at Resonance	3
3	Multiplicative DEs	6
4	Magnus Expansion for Linear ODEs	9

EXACT METHODS

1. Complexification

Applicable to Systems of first order ODEs in two variables whose forcing functions satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations.

Yields

Sometimes, an explicit solution.

Idea

Two ODEs may represent the real and complex parts of a single ODE when written in terms of a complex variable. When this occurs, and the single variable ODE can be solved, then the original system can be solved.

Procedure

Suppose we have the two ODEs

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = f(x, y), \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = g(x, y), \tag{1.1}$$

and suppose that the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial g}{\partial x},$$
(1.2)

are satisfied. Then the ODEs in (1.1) can be written in terms of the single complex unknown z = x + iy which satisfies the first order ODE $\frac{dz}{dt} = h(z)$ for some function h. This can be integrated as $\int^{z} \frac{dw}{h(w)} = t$. Then x(t) and y(t) are the real and imaginary parts of z(t).

Example 1

First order nonlinearity: The most general set of first order constant coefficient ODEs in two variables, with linear terms in the variables, whose forcing functions satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= a + bx + cy, \\ \dot{y} &= A - cx + by, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\{a, b, c, A\}$ are real constants. For these, the differential equation for z = x + iy is

$$\dot{z} = (a + iA) + (b - ic)z, \qquad z_0 = x_0 + iy_0.$$
 (1.4)

Its solution is $z(t) = e^{(b-ic)t} \left(z_0 + \frac{a+iA}{b-ic} \right) - \left(\frac{a+iA}{b-ic} \right)$. The solutions for x(t) and y(t) are the real and imaginary parts of z(t).

Example 2

Second order nonlinearity: The most general set of first order constant coefficient ODEs in two variables, with second order nonlinearities (i.e., having up to quadratic terms) in the variables, whose forcing functions satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations are:

$$\dot{x} = a + bx + cy + dx^{2} + 2exy - dy^{2},
\dot{y} = A - cx + by - ex^{2} + 2dxy + ey^{2}.$$
(1.5)

where $\{a, b, c, d, e, A\}$ are real constants. For these, the differential equation for z = x + iy is

$$\dot{z} = (a + iA) + (b - ic)z + (d - ie)z^2, \qquad z_0 = x_0 + iy_0.$$
 (1.6)

This can be solved explicitly:

$$z(t) = z_1 + \frac{z_2 - z_1}{1 - \left(\frac{z_0 - z_2}{z_0 - z_1}\right)e^{(d - ie)(z_2 - z_1)t}} \quad \text{where}$$

 $\{z_1, z_2\}$ are the roots of $(a + iA) + (b - ic)z + (d - ie)z^2 = 0.$

Example 3

Third order nonlinearity: The system

$$\dot{x} = -8 + 12x - 6x^2 + 6y^2 + x^3 - 3xy^2, \qquad x(0) = 2, \dot{y} = 12y - 12xy + 3x^2y - y^3, \qquad y(0) = 1,$$
(1.7)

leads to the complex ODE $\dot{z} = (z-2)^3$ with z(0) = 2+i. Solving results in $z(t) = 2 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{1+2t}}$. Hence, the solutions of the original system are x(t) = 2, and $y(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2t}}$.

Notes

- 1. This section was created from Kiprono and Tóth [1]. That paper also includes:
 - (a) Explicit formulae, similar to (1.3) and (1.5), for third order nonlinearities (e.g., up to cubic terms) and for the general case.
 - (b) Explicit formulae, similar to (1.3) and (1.4) for first order equations in four independent variables comprising two complex variables.
- 2. The complexifiation technique can also be applied to PDES, such as two-dimensional problems in linear elastostatics. For example, the Airy stress tensor ϕ (from which stress components can be found) in the plane (e.g., with axes x_1 and x_2) may, depending on the problem, satisfy the biharmonic equation

$$\nabla^4 \phi = \frac{\partial^4 \phi}{\partial x_1^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 \phi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^4 \phi}{\partial x_2^4} = 0.$$
(1.8)

Handbook of Differential Equations: New Sections ©Dan Zwillinger 2025 All rights reserved.

Using the complex variable $z = x_1 + ix_2$, (1.8) becomes $16\nabla^4 \phi = 16 \frac{\partial^4 \phi}{\partial z^2 \partial \overline{z}^2} = 0$. The most general solution is $\phi = f_1(z) + f_2(\overline{z}) + \overline{z}f_3(z) + zf_4(\overline{z})$ where the $\{f_i\}$ are general holomorphic functions; see Barber [2].

References

- Kelvin Kiprono and and János Tóth, Symbolic solution of systems of polynomial differential equations via the Cauchy-Riemann equation. Applications to kinetic differential equations, 2024. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2402.09842
- [2] J. R. Barber, *Linear Elastostatics*. https://websites.umich.edu/~jbarber/UNESCO. pdf (acessed 17 May 2025)

2. Linear ODEs Forced at Resonance

Applicable to Linear ODEs that are forced at resonance. For example, for the linear operator $L = a_0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x) \frac{d^j}{dx^j}$, consider the ODE L[y(x)] = f(x) where L[f(x)] = 0. This is equivalent to $L^2[y(x)] = 0$. The technique applies to the general case, $L^m[y(x)] = 0$.

Yields

A representation of a particular solution.

Idea

By generalizing the *L* operator to be L_{λ} (dependent on a new parameter, λ), the solution to $L^{m}[y(x)] = 0$ can be written in terms of a solution $y(x; \lambda)$ to $L_{\lambda}[y(x; \lambda)] = 0$.

Procedure

Given the linear ODE operator L, choose a function g(x) which is m times continuously differentiable. Then select a value λ_0 such that $g'(\lambda_0) \neq 0$. Define the generalized operator $L_{\lambda} = \hat{L} - g(\lambda)$ such that $L_{\lambda_0} = L$; the examples will clarify the form of L_{λ} . (We do not explicitly use the \hat{L} operator, so we do not discuss it.)

Let u(x) be a solution of the homogeneous problem for the L operator: L[y(x)] = 0. Let $u(x; \lambda)$ be a solution of the homogeneous problem for the L_{λ} operator: $L_{\lambda}[y(x; \lambda)] = 0$. Then a particular solution to the resonantly forced equation $L_{\lambda}[y(x; \lambda)] = u(x; \lambda)$ is the function

$$\widehat{u}(x;\lambda) = \frac{1}{g'(\lambda)} \frac{\partial u(x;\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}, \quad \text{with } g'(\lambda) \neq 0.$$
 (2.1)

Using this, a solution to the original resonantly forced problem, that is L[y(x)] = u(x), is $y(x) = \hat{u}(x; \lambda_0)$. Further, for each solution $u(x; \lambda)$ the sequence of functions

$$u_j(x;\lambda) = \frac{\partial^{j-1}u(x;\lambda)}{\partial\lambda^{j-1}}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$
(2.2)

are independent and when evaluated at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ satisfy $L^m[y(x)] = 0$.

Example 1

Consider the constant coefficient linear ODE

$$L[y(x)] = \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1\right)y = y'' + y = \sin x.$$
(2.3)

Since $L[\sin x] = 0$ this linear ODE is being forced at resonance. Choosing $g(\lambda) = -\lambda^2$ and $\lambda_0 = 1$ we define $L_{\lambda} = \hat{L} - g(\lambda)$; specifically

$$L_{\lambda}[y(x)] = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 y}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - g(\lambda)\right) y = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 y}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \lambda^2\right) y = y'' + \lambda^2 y, \tag{2.4}$$

so that $L_{\lambda_0}[y(x)] = L_1[y(x)] = L[y(x)]$. We note that $\widehat{L}[y] = y''$ in this case, but do not need to use this.

The homogeneous problem for the L_{λ} operator, $L_{\lambda}[y(x;\lambda)] = 0$, has a solution $u(x;\lambda) = \sin(\lambda x)$ which, when evaluated at $\lambda = \lambda_0 = 1$, is the forcing function in (2.3). Using $u(x;\lambda) = \sin(\lambda x)$ in (2.1) gives

$$\widehat{u}(x;\lambda) = \frac{1}{g'(\lambda)} \frac{\partial u(x;\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \left(\frac{1}{-2\lambda}\right) x \cos(\lambda x).$$
(2.5)

Evaluating (2.5) at $\lambda = \lambda_0 = 1$ yields the particular solution to (2.3): $\hat{u}(x;\lambda_0) = -\frac{x\cos x}{2}$. Combining this $\hat{u}(x;\lambda_0)$ with the solutions to the homogenous equation L[y(x)] = 0, yields the general solution to (2.3); that is, $y(x) = c_1 \sin x + c_2 \cos x - \frac{x\cos x}{2}$.

Example 2

Consider the linear ODE

$$L^{2}[y(x)] = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad L[y(x)] = \left(x\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - b\right)y = xy' - by.$$
 (2.6)

Choosing $g(\lambda) = \lambda$ and $\lambda_0 = b$ we define

$$L_{\lambda}[y(x)] = \left(x\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - g(\lambda)\right)y = \left(x\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - \lambda\right)y = xy' - \lambda y, \qquad (2.7)$$

so that $L_{\lambda_0}[y(x)] = L_b[y(x)] = L[y(x)]$. We note that $\widehat{L}[y] = xy'$, but do not use it. The homogeneous problem for the L_{λ} operator, $L_{\lambda}[y(x;\lambda)] = 0$, has a solution $u(x;\lambda) = x^{\lambda}$. Using this function in (2.1) gives

$$\widehat{u}(x;\lambda) = \frac{1}{g'(\lambda)} \frac{\partial u(x;\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = x^{\lambda} \ln x.$$
(2.8)

Evaluating the solutions $u(x; \lambda)$ and $\hat{u}(x; \lambda)$ at $\lambda = \lambda_0 = b$ and taking a linear combination of them gives the general solution to (2.6):

$$y(x) = c_1 x^b + c_2 x^b \ln x. (2.9)$$

Example 3

Consider the constant coefficient ODE

$$L^{3}[y(x)] = 0$$
 with $L[y(x)] = y'' + \frac{1}{x}y' + y.$ (2.10)

Choosing $g(\lambda) = -\lambda^2$ and $\lambda_0 = 1$ we define

$$L_{\lambda}[y(x)] = y'' + \frac{1}{x}y' + \lambda^2 y, \qquad (2.11)$$

so that $L_{\lambda_0}[y(x)] = L_1[y(x)] = L[y(x)]$. The homogeneous problem for the L_{λ} operator, $L_{\lambda}[y(x;\lambda)] = 0$, has two linearly independent solutions $u_{11}(x;\lambda) = J_0(\lambda x)$ and $u_{21}(x;\lambda) = L_{\lambda}[y(x;\lambda)] = 0$.

REFERENCES

 $Y_0(\lambda x)$ where $\{J_n(x), Y_n(x)\}$ are Bessel and Weber functions. Using (2.2) yields the iteration of each of these functions

$$u_{12}(x;\lambda) = \frac{\partial u_{11}(x;\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = x \operatorname{J}'_{0}(\lambda x) = -x \operatorname{J}_{1}(\lambda x),$$

$$u_{22}(x;\lambda) = \frac{\partial u_{21}(x;\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = x \operatorname{Y}'_{0}(\lambda x) = -x \operatorname{Y}_{1}(\lambda x).$$
(2.12)

Using (2.2) again gives the next iteration of these functions

$$u_{13}(x;\lambda) = \frac{\partial u_{12}(x;\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -x^2 J_1'(\lambda x) = -\frac{x}{\lambda} J_1(\lambda x) + x^2 J_2(\lambda x),$$

$$u_{23}(x;\lambda) = \frac{\partial u_{22}(x;\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -x^2 Y_1'(\lambda x) = -\frac{x}{\lambda} Y_1(\lambda x) + x^2 Y_2(\lambda x).$$
(2.13)

Evaluating all the solutions found at $\lambda = \lambda_0 = 1$, taking a linear combination of them, and combining like terms gives the general solution to (2.10):

$$y(x) = c_1 J_0(x) + c_2 Y_0(x) + c_3 x J_1(x) + c_4 x Y_1(x) + c_5 x^2 J_2(x) + c_6 x^2 Y_2(x).$$
(2.14)

Notes

- 1. The information and examples in this section are from Willms [1] which, in turn, is based on Gouveia and Stone [2].
- 2. While the problems addressed by this method can be solved using variation of parameters, the current method is easier and faster.
- 3. For first order linear operators, explicit solutions can be written using this method (Willms [1, §3.8]). Consider $L = p(x)\frac{d}{dx} + q(x)$ with $p(x) \neq 0$ on an interval of interest. Let y = u(x) be a solution to L[y(x)] = 0, that is, $u(x) = \exp\left(\int_{x_0}^x \frac{-q(z)}{p(z)}dz\right)$. Then, using $L_{\lambda} = L \lambda$ and $\lambda_0 = 0$, the *m* independent solutions of $L^m[y(x)] = 0$ are $u_j(x) = u(x)\left(\int_{x_0}^x \frac{dz}{p(z)}\right)^{j-1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Applying this approach to $\left((x-a)(x-b)\frac{d}{dx}+x\right)^m y = 0$, results in the explicit solutions

$$u_j(x) = |x-a|^{\frac{a}{b-a}} |x-b|^{\frac{b}{b-a}} \left(\frac{1}{a-b} \ln \left|\frac{x-a}{x-b}\right|\right)^{j-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le m.$$
(2.15)

4. Willms [1] applies this method to

$$\left(3\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 6\frac{d}{dx} + 3\right)y = 3\left(\frac{d}{dx} - 1\right)^2 y = e^x \quad \text{or} \quad L_2[y] = 3L_1^2[y] = e^x. \quad (2.16)$$

where $L_1[e^x] = 0$. He shows that the method fails if L_{λ} is defined relative to L_2 but is successful if L_{λ} is defined relative to L_1 .

References

- A. R. Willms, "Resonantly Forced ODEs and Repeated Roots," SIAM Review, Vol. 66, Iss. 1, Feb 2024, Pages 149–160. https://doi.org/10.1137/23M1545148
- [2] B. Gouveia and H. A. Stone, "Generating Resonant and Repeated Root Solutions to Ordinary Differential Equations Using Perturbation Methods," *SIAM Review*, Vol. 64, Iss. 2, June 2022, Pages 485–499. https://doi.org/10.1137/21M1395922

3. Multiplicative DEs

Applicable to Second order multiplicative DEs of the following form. (This uses the multiplicative calculus and multiplicative derivative (y^*) ; on page 7 see notes #1 and #2.)

$$y^{**} (y^{*})^{p(x)} (y)^{q(x)} = 1$$
(3.1)

for the unknown positive function y(x), where p(x) and q(x) are continuous.

Yields

In some cases, a solution.

Idea

Some of the ideas for ODEs (e.g., reduction of order) can be applied to multiplicative differential equations.

Procedure 1

Convert to a normal form: Start with equation (3.1). Let v(x) satisfy $\frac{2v'}{v} + p = 0$ so that $v = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int p \,dx\right)$. Then change variables

$$y(x) = u(x)^{v(x)}.$$
 (3.2)

Using $y^* = (u^*)^v u^{(v')}$ and $y^{**} = (u^{**})^v (u^*)^{(2v')} (u)^{(v'')}$ results in a normal form

$$u^{**}u^{r(x)} = 1$$
 where $r(x) = -\frac{p'}{2} - \frac{p^2}{4} + q.$ (3.3)

This normal form has removed the multiplicative first derivative term.

Example 1

The second order multiplicative DE

$$y^{**} (y^*)^{2x^2} (y)^{x^4+2x-4} = 1$$
(3.4)

has $p(x) = 2x^2$ and $q(x) = x^4 + 2x - 4$; therefore r(x) = -4 in (3.3). The transformation in (3.2) with $v = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int p\,\mathrm{d}x\right) = \exp\left(-x^3/3\right)$ changes equation (3.4) to be in the normal form: $u^{**}u^{-4} = 1$ which has the solution $u = (c_1)^{\left(e^{-2x}\right)}(c_2)^{\left(e^{2x}\right)}$.

Procedure 2

Reduction of order: Start with equation (3.1). Suppose we know one solution, $y_1(x)$. We look for a second solution of the form $y_2(x) = (y_1(x))^{(\int u \, dx)}$. This will be a solution when u(x) satisfies

$$\frac{u'}{u} = -\left(\frac{2\ln y_1^*}{\ln y_1} + p\right) \quad \text{or} \quad u(x) = \frac{c}{(\ln y_1)^2} \exp\left(-\int_{x_0}^x p(z) \,\mathrm{d}z\right) \quad (3.5)$$

where c is a constant of integration. Combining the two solutions, the most general solution is then

$$y(x) = (y_1(x))^{c_1} (y_2(x))^{c_2} = (y_1(x)) \left(c_1 + c_2 \int \frac{e^{-\int_{x_0}^x p(z) \, \mathrm{d}z}}{(\ln y_1(x))^2} \, \mathrm{d}x \right).$$
(3.6)

3. Multiplicative DEs

Example 2

The second order multiplicative DE

$$y^{**}y^{\left(-2\sec^2 x\right)} = 1 \tag{3.7}$$

has a solution $y_1(x) = e^{\tan x}$ for x > 0. Using this solution with p(x) = 0 in (3.6) results in $y_2(x) = e^{-1-x \tan x}$. Hence, the general solution to (3.7) becomes $y(x) = e^{(c_1 \tan x - c_2 - c_2 x \tan x)}$.

Procedure 3

Convert to Riccati equation: Start with equation (3.1). Look for a solution of the form $y = \exp\left(\left(\int z^{dx}\right)^{-1}\right)$. Using $y^* = z^{-\ln y}$ and $y^{**} = \left(\frac{z^{\ln z}}{z^*}\right)^{\ln y}$ in (3.1) results in $z^* z^{p(x)} e^{-q(x)} = z^{\ln z}$. Replacing z^* with $\exp(z'/z)$, taking logarithms, and setting $w = \ln z$ results in a Riccati equation for w(x): $w' + p(x)w - q(x) = w^2$. Solving for w(x), using $z = e^w$, and performing a multiplicative integration results in a solution for y(x).

Example 3

The multiplicative DE $y^{**}(y^*)^{\frac{1}{x}}(y)^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = 1$ can, using the above procedure, be converted to the Riccati equation $w' + \frac{1}{x}w + \frac{1}{x^2} = w^2$. This equation has the solution $w = \frac{1 - 2cx^2}{x + 2cx^3}$ resulting in the solution $y(x) = \exp\left(\frac{1 + 2cx^2}{x}\right)$.

Notes

1. The multiplicative calculus was defined by Grossman and Katz [3]. Bashirov *et al.* [1] has a good summary with meaningful use cases. The general n^{th} other multiplicative DE is written as

$$\left(y^{*(n)}\right)^{a_n(x)} \left(y^{*(n-1)}\right)^{a_{n-1}(x)} \cdots \left(y^{**}\right)^{a_2(x)} \left(y^*\right)^{a_1(x)} \left(y\right)^{a_0(x)} = f(x) \tag{3.8}$$

for the unknown positive function y(x).

2. Multiplicative derivatives: Assume $\{f(x), g(x), h(x)\}$ are positive functions on an interval of interest and p is a positive constant. The multiplicate derivative of f is denoted f^* . Properties include:

(a)
$$f^*(x) = \frac{d^*f(x)}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[\frac{f(x+h)}{f(x)} \right]^{1/h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[1 + \left(\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{f(x)} \right) \right]^{1/h}$$

(b) $f^* = \exp\left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right) = \exp\left((\ln f)'\right)$ and $f^{*(n)} = \exp\left((\ln f)^{(n)}\right)$
(c) $(f^*)^* = f^{**} = f^{*(2)}$ and $f^{*(n)} = \left(f^{*(n-1)}\right)^*$
(d) $(pf)^* = f^*$ (g) $(f^h)^* = (f^*)^h f^{h'}$
(e) $(fg)^* = f^*g^*$ (h) $(f+g)^* = (f^*)^{\frac{f}{f+g}} (g^*)^{\frac{g}{f+g}}$
(f) $\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^* = \frac{f^*}{g^*}$ (i) $(f \circ g)^* = [f^*(g)]^{g'}$

3. Multiplicative integrals: Assume {f(x), g(x)} are positive bounded functions on an interval of interest and p is a positive constant. The multiplicate integral of f is denoted ∫_a^b f(x)^{dx}.
(a) ∫_a^b f(x)^{dx} = exp (∫_a^b ln f(x) dx) so that ∫_a^b f(x) dx = ln ∫_a^b (e^{f(x)})^{dx}

Handbook of Differential Equations: New Sections

©Dan Zwillinger 2025 All rights reserved.

(b)
$$\int_{a}^{b} [f(x)g(x)]^{dx} = \left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{dx}\right) \left(\int_{a}^{b} g(x)^{dx}\right)$$

(c)
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{dx} = \left(\int_{a}^{c} f(x)^{dx}\right) \left(\int_{c}^{b} f(x)^{dx}\right)$$

(d)
$$\int_{a}^{b} [f(x)^{p}]^{dx} = \left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{dx}\right)^{p}$$

(e)
$$\int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right)^{dx} = \frac{\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{dx}}{\int_{a}^{b} g(x)^{dx}}$$

(f)
$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{*}(x)^{dx} = \frac{f(b)}{f(a)}$$

4. Values of multiplicative derivatives and integrals (for a > 0 and b > 0)

f(x)	$f^*(x)$	$\int f(x)^{\mathrm{d}x}$
a	1	a^x
x	$\exp\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$	$\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^x$
x^a	$\exp\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)$	$\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{ax}$
ax + b	$\exp\left(\frac{a}{ax+b}\right)$	$\frac{(b+ax)^{\frac{b}{a}+x}}{e^x}$
a^x	a	$a^{x^2/2}$
x^x	ex	$e^{-\frac{1}{4}x^2(1-2\ln x)}$

- 5. The first order differential equations $y^*(x) = f(x, y(x))$ and $y'(x) = y(x) \ln f(x, y(x))$ are equivalent.

- 6. The solution to $y^*(x) = y(x)$ with $y(0) = y_0$ is $y(x) = (y_0)^{e^x}$. 7. The solution to $y^*(x) = y(x)^c$ with $y(0) = y_0$ is $y(x) = (y_0)^{e^{cx}}$. 8. The general solution to $y^{**}(x) = \frac{1}{y(x)}$ is $y(x) = \exp(c_1 \sin x + c_2 \cos x)$.
- 9. Most of the content of this section is from Gülşen [2].
- 10. Yalcm and Dedeturk [4] describe how to solve multiplicative differential equations using transforms.

References

- [1] Agamirza E. Bashirov, Emine Mısırlı, Yücel Tandoğdu, and Ali Ozyapıcı "On modeling with multiplicative differential equations." Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ., 2011, 26(4): pages 425-438. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257732329_On_ modeling_with_multiplicative_differential_equations
- [2] Tuba Gülşen, "Some Approaches for Solving Multiplicative Second-Order Linear Differential Equations with Variable Exponentials and Multiplicative Airy's Equation," Turkish Journal of Science & Technology, 18(2), pp 301-309, 2023. https: //dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/3080141
- [3] Michael Grossman and Robert Katz, Non-Newtonian calculus, Lee Press, Pigeon Cover, Massachusetts, 1972. https://play.google.com/books/reader?id=RLuJmE5y8pYC& pg=GBS.PP2&hl=en
- [4] Numan Yalçm and Mutlu Dedeturk, "Solutions of multiplicative ordinary differential equations via the multiplicative differential transform method," AIMS Mathematics, 6(4), pages 3393-3409, 19 January 2021 DOI:10.3934/math.2021203 https://www. aimspress.com/aimspress-data/math/2021/4/PDF/math-06-04-203.pdf

APPROXIMATE METHODS

4. Magnus Expansion for Linear ODEs

Applicable to Linear matrix ODEs $\mathbf{y}'(t) = A(t)\mathbf{y}(t)$, specifically when the matrix does not commute at different values of the argument $A(t)A(s) \neq A(s)A(t)$.

Yields

A formal expansion of the solution which, in some cases, can be proven to converge.

Idea

Write the solution operator as an exponential of a matrix, where the matrix is a sum of matrix terms. Each partial sum of the matrix creates an approximation of the evolution operator.

Procedure

Start with the general n-dimensional linear ODE

$$\mathbf{y}'(t) = A(t)\mathbf{y}(t) \tag{4.1}$$

as an initial value problem with $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ where A(t) is a square matrix. We seek the timeevolution operator (also known as a propagator), a matrix U(t) that satisfies $\mathbf{y}(t) = U(t)\mathbf{y}_0$. Of course U(0) = I, the identity matrix.

In some cases: (1) if A(t) is a constant, (2) if A(t) is a scalar, or (3) if A(t) commutes with itself (i.e., A(t)A(s) = A(s)A(t), for all t and s) then the solution to (4.1) is

$$U(t) = U_{\text{simple}}(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t A(s) \,\mathrm{d}s\right). \tag{4.2}$$

More generally (i.e., applicable when none of the above three conditions are met) the time-evolution operator can be represented as $U(t) = e^{\Omega(t)}$ with $\Omega(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k(t)$. The Magnus expansion is a specific selection of the $\{\Omega_i\}$. The first few terms are:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{1}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} A(t_{1}) \, dt_{1}, \qquad \Omega_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{2} \left[A(t_{1}), A(t_{2}) \right], \\ \Omega_{3}(t) &= \frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} dt_{3} \left(\left[A_{1}, \left[A_{2}, A_{3} \right] \right] + \left[A_{3}, \left[A_{2}, A_{1} \right] \right] \right), \\ \Omega_{4}(t) &= \frac{1}{12} \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} dt_{3} \int_{0}^{t_{3}} dt_{4} \left(\left[\left[\left[A_{1}, A_{2} \right], A_{3} \right], A_{4} \right] \right. \\ &+ \left[A_{1}, \left[\left[A_{2}, A_{3} \right], A_{4} \right] \right] + \left[A_{1}, \left[A_{2}, \left[A_{3}, A_{4} \right] \right] \right] + \left[A_{2}, \left[A_{3}, \left[A_{4}, A_{1} \right] \right] \right) \end{split}$$

$$(4.3)$$

Here $A_i = A(t_i)$ and [B, C] = BC - CB is the commutator of the matrices B and C. A formulae is available for every term; Ω_k is a k-fold integral over k - 1 nested commutators, see Magnus [6] and Arnal *et al.* [1].

Note that $U_{\text{simple}}(t)$ is the first approximation, $e^{\Omega_1(t)}$, in the Magnus expansion. If A(t) commutes with itself, then all the higher order terms $\{\Omega_k \mid k = 2, 3, ...\}$ vanish.

Handbook of Differential Equations: New Sections ©Dan Zwillinger 2025 All rights reserved.

Example

Consider the linear matrix ODE:

$$\mathbf{y}' = A(t)\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}.$$
(4.4)

To show that A(t) is non-commutative we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} [A(t_1), A(t_2)] &= A(t_1)A(t_2) - A(t_2)A(t_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t_1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t_1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} t_2 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 - t_2 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 - t_1 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$
(4.5)

For this problem, the time evolution operator can be determined exactly. The solution to (4.4) (which has $y_2'' = ty_2$) can be written in terms of the Airy functions {Ai, Bi} and their derivatives. The solution that satisfies U(0) = I is:

$$U(t) = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \left(\operatorname{Ai}'(t) - a_1 \operatorname{Bi}'(t) \right) & m_2 \left(\operatorname{Bi}'(t) - a_2 \operatorname{Ai}'(t) \right) \\ m_1 \left(\operatorname{Ai}(t) - a_1 \operatorname{Bi}(t) \right) & m_2 \left(\operatorname{Bi}(t) - a_2 \operatorname{Ai}(t) \right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{t^6}{72} + \dots & \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^5}{30} + \frac{t^6}{1440} + \dots \\ t + \frac{t^4}{12} + \frac{t^2}{504} + \dots & 1 + \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^6}{180} + \dots \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.6)

for $\{m_1 = 3^{-2/3}\Gamma\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right), m_2 = 3^{1/6}\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)/2, a_1 = 3^{-1/2}, a_2 = -3^{1/2}\}$. (These values were found by writing the general solution and then requiring U(0) = I.) The Taylor series around t = 0 is shown for later comparison. (It also shows that U(0) = I.)

We can compare this exact solution to the Magnus expansion. First we compute the first few $\{\Omega_i\}$:

$$\Omega_1(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{t^2}{2} \\ t & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega_2(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{t^3}{12} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{t^3}{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega_3(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{t^5}{120} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega_4(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{t^6}{360} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t^6}{360} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(4.7)

Using these, successive approximations of the U(t) operator are obtained by taking partial sums of the $\{\Omega_i\}$ terms. For each of these, we find the Taylor series around t = 0 to compare

against the exact result in (4.6).

$$\begin{split} U(t) &\approx e^{\Omega_1} = \exp\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{t^2}{2} \\ t & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh\lambda & \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/3}\sinh\lambda \\ \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{1/3}\sinh\lambda & \cosh\lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{t^3}{2}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \dots & \frac{\lambda^{4/3}}{2^{1/3}} + \frac{\lambda^{10/3}}{62^{1/3}} + \dots \\ 2^{1/2}\lambda^{2/3} + \frac{\lambda^{8/3}}{32^{2/3}} + \dots & 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \dots \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{t^3}{4} + \frac{t^6}{96} + \dots & \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^5}{24} + \frac{t^8}{960} + \dots \\ t + \frac{t^4}{12} + \frac{t^7}{488} + \dots & 1 + \frac{t^3}{4} + \frac{t^6}{96} + \dots \end{bmatrix} \\ U(t) &\approx e^{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{t^3}{4} + \frac{t^6}{48} + \dots & \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^5}{24} + \frac{7t^8}{144} + \dots \\ t + \frac{t^4}{12} + \frac{7t^7}{2160} + \dots & 1 + \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^6}{144} + \dots \end{bmatrix} \\ U(t) &\approx e^{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{t^6}{60} + \dots & \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^5}{30} + \frac{t^8}{4320} + \dots \\ t + \frac{t^4}{12} + \frac{t^7}{540} + \dots & 1 + \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^6}{360} + \dots \end{bmatrix} \\ U(t) &\approx e^{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 + \Omega_4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{t^6}{72} + \dots & \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^5}{30} + \frac{t^8}{4320} + \dots \\ t + \frac{t^4}{12} + \frac{t^7}{540} + \dots & 1 + \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^6}{180} + \dots \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

These results show that, for this problem, using three Ω terms yields the correct first two terms in the Taylor expansion. At least five terms are needed to obtain the next term in the Taylor expansion.

Notes

- 1. A good review of the Magnus expansion (up until 2008) is in Blanes et al. [2].
- 2. There is also a Magnus expansion for stochastic ODEs, see Kamm *et al.* [4]
- 3. A numerical application of the Magnus expansion to nonlinear ODEs is in Krull and Minion [5].
- 4. The Magnus series will converge for $t \in [0, T)$ if $\int_0^T ||A(s)||_2 ds < \pi$, where $||\cdot||_2$ is the matrix norm; see Moan and Niesen [7].
- 5. The Magnus series expansion maintains useful attributes of the exact solution. For example, in classical mechanics the symplectic nature of the solution is preserved at every order of the Magnus expansion.
- 6. There are many ways to compute matrix exponentials (Molar and VanLoan [8]), one way is to use the definition of the exponential function (Higham [3]). For example,
 - in (4.8) we need $e^{\Omega_1(t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_1(t)^n}{n!}$. This is readily evaluated since $\Omega_1(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{t^2}{2} \\ t & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has a special structure. Consider the matrix $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We find that $M^2 = abI$,
 - $M^3 = abM$ and, in general,

$$M^{n} = \begin{cases} (ab)^{n/2}I & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (ab)^{(n-1)/2}M & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(4.9)

This leads to

$$e^{M} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{n}}{n!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{2k}}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ab)^{k}}{(2k)!}\right) I + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ab)^{k}}{(2k+1)!}\right) M = \cosh\left(\sqrt{ab}\right) I + \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{ab}\right)}{\sqrt{ab}} M$$
(4.10)

Defining $\lambda = \sqrt{ab} = \sqrt{t^3/2}$ results in the value of $e^{\Omega_1(t)}$ in (4.8).

7. The MATLAB command expm and the Mathematica command MatrixExp compute a matrix exponential.

References

- Ana Arnal, Fernando Casas1 and Cristina Chiralt, "A general formula for the Magnus expansion in terms of iterated integrals of right-nested commutators," J. Phys. Commun., 2 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1088/2399-6528/aab291 https:// iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/2399-6528/aab291/pdf
- [2] S. Blanes, F. Casas, J. A. Oteo, and J. Ros, The Magnus expansion and some of its applications, 30 Oct 2008. https://arxiv.org/pdf/0810.5488
- [3] N. J. Higham, Functions of Matrices: Theory and Computation, SIAM, 2008. 10.1137/ 1.9780898717778
- [4] Kevin Kamm, Stefano Pagliarani, and Andrea Pascucci, On the stochastic Magnus expansion and its application to SPDEs, 19 May 2022. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2001. 01098
- [5] B. T. Krull and M. L. Minion, "Parallel-In-Time Magnus Integrators," SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, Vol. 41, Issue 5 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1174854 https://ccse.lbl.gov/Publications/minion/KrullMinion2018a.pdf
- [6] W. Magnus, "On the exponential solution of differential equations for a linear operator," *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 7:639–673, 1954.
- [7] Per Christian Moan and Jitse Niesen, Convergence of the Magnus series, https:// arxiv.org/pdf/math/0609198, 1 Aug 2007.
- [8] Cleve Moler and Charles Van Loan, "Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix, Twenty-Five Years Later," SIAM Review, Vol. 45, Issue 1, 2003. https://doi.org/10.1137/S00361445024180 https://www.cs.jhu.edu/ ~misha/ReadingSeminar/Papers/Moler03.pdf

Index

Bessel functions, 5 commutator, 9 complexification, 1 equation multiplicative, 6 third order, 2expansion Magnus, 9 Magnus expansion, 9 Mathematica, 12 MATLAB, 12 matrix commutator, 9 exponential, 11 multiplicative equations, 6 multiplicative integrals, 7 nonlinearities, 2 normal form, 6 operator linear, 3 time-evolution, 9 propagator, 9reduction of order, 6 resonance, 3 stress tensor, 2time-evolution operator, $9\,$ variation of parameters, $\boldsymbol{5}$